

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

SHANE HARRINGTON,

Plaintiff,

vs.

HALL COUNTY NEBRASKA, et al.,

Defendants.

4:15-CV-3052

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

This matter is before the Court on the Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendation (filing [142](#)) recommending that the Court deny the plaintiff's motion to amend his complaint (filing [135](#)).

Neither party has objected to the Magistrate Judge's recommendation. Title 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) provides for de novo review of a Magistrate Judge's findings or recommendations only when a party objects to them. *Peretz v. United States*, 501 U.S. 923 (1991). Failure to object to a finding of fact in a Magistrate Judge's recommendation may be construed as a waiver of the right to object from the district court's order adopting the recommendation of the finding of fact. *NECivR 72.2(f)*. And the failure to file an objection eliminates not only the need for de novo review, but any review by the Court. *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140 (1985); *Leonard v. Dorsey & Whitney LLP*, 553 F.3d 609 (8th Cir. 2009); see also *United States v. Meyer*, 439 F.3d 855, 858-59 (8th Cir. 2006).


Accordingly, the Court will adopt the Magistrate Judge's recommendation that the defendant's motion to amend be denied.

IT IS ORDERED:

1. The Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendation (filing [142](#)) are adopted.
2. The plaintiff's motion to amend (filing [135](#)) is denied.
3. Pursuant to this memorandum and order, and the memorandum and order (filing [132](#)) previously entered in this case, this matter is dismissed.
4. A separate judgment will be entered.

Dated this 19th day of July, 2016.

BY THE COURT:



John M. Gerrard
United States District Judge